,		AUMINISTEM				PD/0.78	:J193
	Approved	For Release 2002/05/17	' : CIA-RDP84-0	00933R0 <u>00</u> 1003	310019-9	Info !	Handling, EXCON
			٠.				4. EXCON
			•		21 NOV	2014	1
							l)
	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Deputy Director for Deputy Director for Deputy Director for Deputy Director for Administrative Office Property Director for Deputy Director fo	National Fore or Operations or Science :	eign Assessme G	ent Cente	r	
	FROM :	James H. Taylor Comptroller					
	SUBJECT :	Information Handli	ing Study	• =			
•	· ·	_	•	•		-	
STAT	Director's Septe	At the Director's I for developing a Agency's informatimber conference the troller, revised as	on handling	ture for coo	rdinating	, and	ė
	continu tions,	Develop a compreh y for the Agency and ing coordination of records management,	d a structure the Agency's and word pro	e for more for ADP, communocessing acti	ormal, nica- ivities.	es Mari	
	was an integral particular some of them. In difficulties trying absence of a cohe	developing a "comprese attached paper entert of the goal pace addition, we believe to plan future issive, Agencywide placed on the need for ing activities.	ckage discussive that some information h	ed in Septem components andling prog	ement in ber and are havi rams in	<u>CIA</u> address ng the	
STAT	best possible out mendations for Ag since September h hensive, if gener submitted to the we have agreement the project, prep	The approach recommender side management or ency consideration. as led to the conclusived, statement of EAG for discussion on the task, we cause a formal statementiate the study.	Further diusion that auf the task sland restatemen	irm" to form scussion of a first standard be devent as necesional form.	ulate red this goal ep, a com eloped an sary. Or	com- l more- nd	-
STAT [*]	3. EAG is as comprehe	To ensure that the sensive and thoughtfo	tasking state ul as possibl	ement which c	pes to to	he	
•	**						STAT
-	•		•	_			
		Administrative		së Baiy			

Administrative - Internal Use Unly

Approved For Release 2002/05/17: CIA-RDP84-00933R000100310019-9

input from all Agency components. What information handling and management issues would you expect to be included in a statement presented to senior Agency management? We would like your responses to address at least the following:

- (a) How, for management purposes, should we define information handling? So many define it so differently that we need to develop a composite definition.
- (b) What are your major problems in handling information that presently affect or will in the future affect the performance of your component and/or other Agency components?
- (c) What are the Agencywide management issues in information handling that you believe need attention in this study (e.g., planning, utilization of technology, internal organizational relationships, others)?
- (d) What programs, now underway or being planned, do you think have a bearing on these issues and therefore need to be addressed in this study?

e your responses to this rediscretion the structure of discretion the structure of if the comments of your to us. We need as broad a reto develop a meaningful and the EAG will be scheduled for the teached the teached the scheduled for the teached the scheduled for the teached the t	f your re- individual cesponse
James H. Tryylow	STATINTI
2	l if the comments of your ; o us. We need as broad a ; to develop a meaningful and

Attachment: As Stated

STAT

The Executive Advisory Group has given considerable attention to the problem of managing the automatic data processing activities of CIA, recognizing the need for careful control over the growth of this expensive resource. It is becoming increasingly apparent, however, that to control ADP is to control only one element in a family of activities, all of which involve the handling of information.

CIA collects, transmits, stores, retrieves, shares, collates, transforms, produces and publishes information in bewildering profusion. To facilitate these multiple processes we have developed highly sophisticated and costly communications and ADP systems, related in various ways to our printing and publication facilities and to the pervasive records management processes through which we identify and safeguard the information we need to accomplish our intelligence tasks.

These various information handling tools are highly interdependent. Computer data flows on communications lines; communicated messages come to rest in computer data banks; computerized records are converted to microfilm and microfiche; filmed and paper records are scanned electronically for communication to remote display terminals; word-processing techniques expand the computer data base or feed computerized text-editing and printing processes, etc., etc. A management program to control a single element in this family of activities will be frustrated by the ways in which decisions made in another area can generate new, unprogrammed increases in workload. An awareness by the managers of the larger information service components of the need for coordination has protected us from major problems to date, but a new element is entering the picture, threatening organizational loss of control.

The emerging, as yet ill-defined techniques of "word processing," are beginning to place in the hands of individual officers or units the capacity to handle their information far more effectively and efficiently. This new localized word processing power is accompanied, however, by the ability to generate new and unanticipated demands on the central ADP and communication systems, and it threatens to have dramatic impact on our records management programs. The Information Systems Analysis Staff in the O/DDA is attempting to measure the costs and benefits of these new techniques, but is finding that without the ADP and communication factors, the analysis of word processing lacks significance. There are, to be sure, "standalone" word processors, but the advantages of interconnection are enticing and easily obtained in today's market, and the use of computer terminals as word processors (using software packages like SCRIPT) has the potential for uncontrolled growth. Approved For Release 2002/05/17 : CIA-RDP84-00933R000100310019-9

The new Office of Community Information Systems (OCIS) will apparently be looking at some of these problems as they relate to the Intelligence Community. CIA has no counterpart to OCIS, no single voice speaking knowledgeably about the way information is or should be managed inthe Agency. Indeed, as is noted at budget defense time every year, CIA does not even have one focal point for all its ADP effort. The Director of Data Processing manages and can speak for only about half of the Agency's ADP resources.

One of the three DDA management goals for 1978, along with the search for greater effectiveness and proficiency, was the enhancement of information management. As we attempt to achieve this goal, we are continually reminded of the fact that in CIA the sharing of information and information-handling facilities makes a one-directorate approach to this problem ineffective. We need a mechanism to plan and coordinate our information-handling activities on an Agency-wide basis.

GGOAL 1 (Con't)

Approved For Release 2002/05/17: CIA-RDP84-00933R000100310019-9

- 1. This recommended approach is submitted in concert with the Comptroller.
- 2. Additional thought and discussion has been given this matter since the goal was first submitted for consideration in June. That consideration has led to a series of both negative and positive conclusions. The negative conclusions are:
 - a. The somewhat traditional and conventional Agency approach of appointing an inter-directorate staff study group reporting to EAG is insufficient for the cause.
 - b. The magnitude of the problem, availability of qualified Agency personnel to study it full-time, and the need to capitalize on the best experience and technology available preclude an exclusive inhouse attach.
 - c. The presence of biases, subconscious or otherwise, amongst Agency personnel would inhibit the development of the most objective considerations to meet the problem.

The positive conclusions arrived at are:

- a. The problem is real, is here, and a failure to study and attack it now will only exacerbate a solution at a later date.
- b. The attack on the problem should not be undertaken unless we are absolutely committed to solve it.
- c. The implementation to the solution to the problem may well involve a major, if not drastic, realignment of operating components in this Agency, with the most dramatic possibility being the creation of a new directorate. If we are not prepared to accept and seriously discuss drastic remedies, we should not undertake the exercise.
- d. A project director from the Agency, backed up by an Agency steering committee, should be appointed. The major study and formulation of recommendations, however, should be done by the best possible outside management or consulting firm that we can select. This firm should have a demonstrated record in attacking and successfully recommending solutions to some counterpart problems.

Con't)

Approved For Release 2002/05/17: CIA-RDP84-00933R000100310019-9

- e. The study will not be inexpensive and should not be forced to meet an unreasonable time frame.
- 3. If all of the above stipulations are accepted, an initial implementing step, working within the constraints established above, would be to appoint the project manager and steering group to develop and operating plan of attack, to include a statement of the problem.